



CUTE roadmap CANVAS

1-ACTION NAME OR IDENTIFIER

2-PRIORITIES OF THIS ACTION

Choose all that apply and provide a description.

3-PEOLPLE

Answer the following questions: • Who is going to lead this action? • Who will participate in this action?

- Who is going to be directly affected (target group)?
- Who will benefit from this?

4-TIME SCALE

Choose one. Provide any additional details if necessary:

S - Short Term: 1-6 months.

M - Medium Term: 6 months to 1 year.

L - Long Term: Loger than 1 year.

5-IMPACT

Small scale: individual practice, small group, single discipline, etc. Large scale: at a College or institutional level. **Transformative:** significant, permanent change that alters practice and perspective, perhaps also at a sectoral rather than single institutional level.

6-GOALS

Strategic Level: related to the institutional mission, vision, and high-level goals, often long-term, less tangible, but very important. Operational Level: practicefocused, related to small groups or changes, short term, often easy to measure and quantify.

7-ACTION INDICATORS OF PROGRESS

Please list/describe some tangible/ measurable indicators that will help you to monitor progress on the tasks/action.

8-RELEVANT AREAS OF DIGCOMPEDU

Choose all that apply from the DIGCOMPEDU Framework:

- 1 Professional Engagement 4 Assessment
- 2 Digital Resource
- 3 Teaching and Learning
- 5 Empowering Learners 6 - Facilitating Learner's Digital Competence
- action leader. uni. course teacher affected: uni. course students and teachers benefits. uni. course students and teachers New approaches to Alent content content content 2.1. Selectine digital resources A.2 Assessment strategies 5.3 Actively engaging learners Empowering Learners Learn how to critically evaluate the content developed with the Learn how to use gen. Al ACTION THIS helpotal OF 2-PRIORITIES Peer-to-peer evaluation Final teacher evaluation • Manuals published on the FOI's elearning platform **7-ACTION INDICATORS OF PROGRESS**

ACTION PLAN

5-IMPACT

Introduction

Students explore different opportunities to evaluate content development done with the help of AI.

Content creation

Students use gen. Al as a tool that will help them to update and/or develop a new content related to specific topics.

The work is then shared with 1-2 peers who critically evaluate the content and check for plagiarism and accuracy of information. In the end, students need to reflect on how gen. AI helped them in content development. Also, students need to reflect and propose evaluation mechanisms for teachers.

Discussion

Each group of students provides detailed reflection on their way of evaluation of other's work and develop instructions for teachers on how to use tools for p2p evaluation and how to approach the evaluation of work done with the help of gen. AI.

Dissemination

Results will be presented on the joint workshop to teachers at the faculty level.





